

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Brian Mattmiller [bsmattmi@wisc.edu]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 12:56 PM
To: Patrick Farrell
Cc: EDEN T INOWAY-RONNIE
Subject: Fwd: Letter Of Recommendation For Kevin Barrett

Begin forwarded message:

From: Tricia Dickinson <pmdickinson@wisc.edu>
Date: June 30, 2006 12:43:01 PM CDT
To: Amy Toburen <atoburen@wisc.edu>, BRIAN S MATTMILLER <bsmattmi@wisc.edu>
Subject: Fwd: Letter Of Recommendation For Kevin Barrett

FYI, here is an email CIVC received. I will have them forward the Provost's statement.

td

Begin forwarded message:

From: askbucky askbucky <askbucky@redgym.wisc.edu>
Date: June 30, 2006 11:52:22 AM CDT
To: pmdickinson@wisc.edu
Subject: Fwd: Letter Of Recommendation For Kevin Barrett

From: Jonathan Gold <Gold9472@comcast.net>
Date: June 29, 2006 10:24:04 PM CDT
To: askbucky@redgym.wisc.edu
Subject: Letter Of Recommendation For Kevin Barrett

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing this letter to let you know that despite what Representative Nass has to say about him, Kevin Barrett is a good man, a good scholar, a good Muslim, and a GOOD American. A recent national Zogby Poll found that 42% of the American people want a new investigation into 9/11. There is nothing "nutty left wing" about it.

Please DO NOT hold the fact that Kevin Barrett has legitimate questions regarding 9/11 against him.

Thank You.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Gold
143 Todd Lane
Plymouth Meeting, PA. 19462
610 322 3380

Tricia Dickinson
Marketing Director
University Communications
University of Wisconsin-Madison
608/265-9005

Forward. Thinking.

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Jimmy LaGarde [jimmyjames2477@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 1:13 PM
To: rep.nass@legis.state.wi.us
Cc: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: Dr. Barrett

Representative Nass,

I respectfully request that you withdraw your condemnation of Dr. Kevin Barrett and instead educate yourself as to the truth about the 9/11 attacks on American before make such attacks on his integrity. Dr. Barrett is a well respected educator and his credentials stand for themselves.

If you really want to represent the people of your district you should be promoting the real truth. The 9/11 attacks on New York and Washington was an inside job with the full knowledge and approval of the Bush administration. Millions of Americans already know this truth in spite of the massive cover-up by our own government and the corporate controlled media.

Sincerely,
James L. LaGarde
Pocomoke City, MD

<http://www.911revisited.com/>

NOTICE: Due to Presidential Executive Orders, the National Security Agency (NSA) may have read this email without warning, warrant, or notice. They may do this without any judicial or legislative oversight.

You have no recourse, nor protection.....

First they came for the Jews
and I did not speak out
because I was not a Jew.
Then they came for the Communists
and I did not speak out
because I was not a Communist.
Then they came for the trade unionists
and I did not speak out
because I was not a trade unionist.
Then they came for me
and there was no one left
to speak out for me.

Pastor Martin Niemöller

Want to be your own boss? Learn how on [Yahoo! Small Business](#).

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Brian Mattmiller [bsmattmi@wisc.edu]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 1:50 PM
To: Amy Toburen
Cc: Dennis Chaptman; John Lucas; EDEN T INOWAY-RONNIE; Patrick Farrell
Subject: Fwd: contact from Tony Galli of WKOW-TV

Hi all -- Tony is interviewing Pat this afternoon at his home and will likely follow up with Dr. Memon as well. I asked him to call me if he had any questions.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Angela Powell <adpowell@wisc.edu>
Date: June 30, 2006 1:39:10 PM CDT
To: bsmattmi@wisc.edu
Subject: contact from Tony Galli of WKOW-TV

Tony Galli of WKOW-TV called (225-8701). I presume it's about the Kevin Barrett incident. He wants to talk to Prof. Muhammad Memon, who normally teaches the LCA 370, "Islam: Religion and Culture" course and has supervised Kevin as a TA in the past.

Angela Powell

--

Angela Powell
Administrator
Languages and Cultures of Asia
University of Wisconsin
1240 Van Hise Hall
1220 Linden Drive
Madison, WI 53706
608/262-0524
FAX 608/265-3538
Email: adpowell@wisc.edu

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Brian Mattmiller [bsmattmi@wisc.edu]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 2:24 PM
To: Patrick Farrell
Cc: EDEN T INOWAY-RONNIE; Amy Toburen; Dennis Chaptman; Gary Sandefur
Subject: Fwd: [Fwd: Kevin Barrett]

Here is some interesting and relevant input from a colleague of Barrett:

Begin forwarded message:

From: Angela Powell <adpowell@wisc.edu>
Date: June 30, 2006 2:19:41 PM CDT
To: bsmattmi@wisc.edu
Subject: [Fwd: Kevin Barrett]

--

Angela Powell
Administrator
Languages and Cultures of Asia
University of Wisconsin
1240 Van Hise Hall
1220 Linden Drive
Madison, WI 53706
608/262-0524
FAX 608/265-3538
Email: adpowell@wisc.edu

From: "John B. Cobb, Jr." <cobbi@cgu.edu>
Date: June 30, 2006 2:02:33 PM CDT
To: adpowell@wisc.edu
Cc: khidria@merr.com
Subject: Kevin Barrett

Languages and Cultures of Asia Dept.
Dear colleagues:

Kevin Barrett has written me that there is political pressure to exclude him from teaching because of his assignment of a book that I participated with him in editing. Since I have considerable confidence that the University of Wisconsin does not yield easily to political pressure, I doubt that any comment on my part is needed. However, I would like to support Mr. Barrett.

Along with a Jewish professor in Arizona, Sandra Lubarsky, Barrett and I have gathered

papers by religiously committed people who take a variety of positions on what really happened on 9/11. Most do not believe the official account or at least think that a serious investigation is needed. This is a widespread view in this country, and even more widespread abroad. Yet our press maintains almost complete silence. It is not politically correct to countenance a "conspiracy theory."

I am a Christian theologian, and my task was to solicit essays from fellow Christians. We hope that this will begin to break through the wall of silence on an issue of great importance to this country and to the world. So much of our foreign and domestic policy is based on the extremely questionable official account that it is my belief that the lack of attention to this matter by the Christian community in general is irresponsible. Incidentally, a major breakthrough in this regard has come through the publication by Westminster John Knox press of David Griffin's book, *Christian Faith and the Truth Behind 9/11*.

Although officially our book was co-edited by the three of us, and we all solicited contributors, Barrett took major responsibility for putting it together and working with the publisher. I have not met him personally, but I can say that in doing this he was thoroughly responsible, leaning over backwards to adjust to the concerns of Jewish contributors, for example.

In my view, only those who believe that the American government can do no wrong, or in particular that this administration is properly above the law could regard Barrett's participation in this project as academically inappropriate.

John B. Cobb, Jr.

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Angela Powell [adpowell@wisc.edu]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 2:25 PM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: [Fwd: Kevin Barrett]



Kevin Barrett (5.67
KB)

--
Angela Powell
Administrator
Languages and Cultures of Asia
University of Wisconsin
1240 Van Hise Hall
1220 Linden Drive
Madison, WI 53706
608/262-0524
FAX 608/265-3538
Email: adpowell@wisc.edu

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: John B. Cobb, Jr. [cobbj@cgu.edu]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 2:03 PM
To: adpowell@wisc.edu
Cc: khidria@merr.com
Subject: Kevin Barrett

Languages and Cultures of Asia Dept.

Dear colleagues:

Kevin Barrett has written me that there is political pressure to exclude him from teaching because of his assignment of a book that I participated with him in editing. Since I have considerable confidence that the University of Wisconsin does not yield easily to political pressure, I doubt that any comment on my part is needed. However, I would like to support Mr. Barrett.

Along with a Jewish professor in Arizona, Sandra Lubarsky, Barrett and I have gathered papers by religiously committed people who take a variety of positions on what really happened on 9/11. Most do not believe the official account or at least think that a serious investigation is needed. This is a widespread view in this country, and even more widespread abroad. Yet our press maintains almost complete silence. It is not politically correct to countenance a "conspiracy theory."

I am a Christian theologian, and my task was to solicit essays from fellow Christians. We hope that this will begin to break through the wall of silence on an issue of great importance to this country and to the world. So much of our foreign and domestic policy is based on the extremely questionable official account that it is my belief that the lack of attention to this matter by the Christian community in general is irresponsible. Incidentally, a major breakthrough in this regard has come through the publication by Westminster John Knox press of David Griffin's book, *Christian Faith and the Truth Behind 9/11*.

Although officially our book was co-edited by the three of us, and we all solicited contributors, Barrett took major responsibility for putting it together and working with the publisher. I have not met him personally, but I can say that in doing this he was thoroughly responsible, leaning over backwards to adjust to the concerns of Jewish contributors, for example.

In my view, only those who believe that the American government can do no wrong, or in particular that this administration is properly above the law could regard Barrett's participation in this project as academically inappropriate.

John B. Cobb, Jr.

7/31/2006

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Sam Price [sampricesf@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 2:42 PM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Cc: dwalsh@foleylaw.com
Subject: Request regarding Kevin Barrett

Patrick V. Farrell
Provost and Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs
University of Wisconsin-Madison

Dear Mr. Farrell,

The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel Online has this article about you and instructor Kevin Barrett:

<http://www.jsonline.com/story/index.aspx?id=445190>

The article quotes you as saying, "Mr. Barrett's statements regarding the events of Sept. 11 have raised some legitimate concerns about the content and quality of instruction in his planned fall course."

I have been reading Kevin Barrett's e-mail newsletter, so I am familiar with the information that he is presenting, regarding September 11. It is high-quality information, showing what really did happen:

The World Trade Center buildings fell down because of explosives installed in the buildings beforehand. The official story, about the 19 Muslims who supposedly learned to fly 747s at a flight school in Venice, Florida, etc., is demonstrably false, and a deliberate lie.

This is not an issue that's in the realm of speculation, or "conspiracy thory." The truth of what Kevin Barrett is saying is verifiable, as shown in this article by Steven Jones, physics professor at Brigham Young University:

<http://www.physics.byu.edu/research/energy/htm7.html>

This is an article that every American needs to *actually read*, from start to finish. The fact that Kevin Barrett is introducing people to this information, even at the risk of his livelihood, is a credit to him as a teacher and as a human being. He's an instructor that the University of Wisconsin can be proud of having on-staff.

I am making you this request: PLEASE ACTUALLY *READ* JONES'S ARTICLE, so that in responding to the current situation involving Kevin Barrett, you will be operating from inside your own first-hand familiarity with the facts.

Sincerely,

Sam Price
San Francisco

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: David Abbitt [dabbitt@adelphia.net]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 3:15 PM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: Kevin Barrett

Dear Mr. Farrell;

Do we not live in the United States of America? Does the Constitution not provide that citizens of this country are allowed to voice their opinions, even if those opinions are in direct opposition to their government, without fear of persecution? I am amazed when lawmakers such as Wisconsin State Representative Stephen Nass, elected to represent "we the people", react in the way he has to Professor Barrett's claims of government involvement in the events surrounding 9/11.

The call for the dismissal of Professor Barrett is wrong and strikes to the core of the principals this country was founded upon. I hope you take this into consideration when reviewing Mr. Barrett's record.

David Abbitt
Los Angeles, CA

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: william.groskopf@thomson.com
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 3:19 PM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: RE: Kevin Barrett

Thank you for your response!!

Bill Groskopf
Thomson Financial
262-789-8919
william.groskopf@thomson.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Patrick Farrell [mailto:pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 3:17 PM
To: Groskopf, Bill (Eq, FI&RWM)
Subject: Re: Kevin Barrett

Mr. Groskopf:

Thank for your note. As you may have seen in the newspaper, I plan to look into Mr. Barrett's teaching record and meet with him, the Dean of L & S, and his department chair to discuss this fall's class. If I find he will not provide the content and quality of teaching I expect, he won't teach the class.

P. Farrell

----- Original Message -----

From: william.groskopf@thomson.com
Date: Friday, June 30, 2006 11:58 am
Subject: Kevin Barrett
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Cc: mtwohey@journalsentinel.com

> Mr. Farrell,

>
> As long time tax payer in the state of Wisconsin, I
> feel I
> must voice my opinion on the current issue revolving around Kevin
> Barrett and his beliefs on 9-11.
>
>
> If he wants to voice his beliefs and theories on what really took
> place on 9-11 let him stand on a soap box in the commons and do so.
> However I
> can't condone any of my tax dollars being spent to aid him in his
> "mission of enlightenment". As one of your employers, I would like to
> hear what you personally plan to do to put and end to this situation.
> Please call or e-mail me using the contact information below.
>
>
>
> William E. Groskopf
>
> 262 / 789-8919
>
> E-Mail to: william.groskopf@thomson.com
> <mailto:william.groskopf@thomson.com>
>

>
>
> ****The information contained in this communication may be
> confidential or legally privileged and is intended only for the
> recipient named
> above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient,
> you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or
> copying of
> this communication or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have
> received this communication in error, please immediately advise the
> sender and delete the original and any copies from your computer
> system.****

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Dennis Chaptman [dchaptman@wisc.edu]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 3:54 PM
To: Patrick Farrell
Subject: Re: WHA radio interview

Also, since it is a call-in format, don't let callers (I don't suspect they will have time for many) pull you away from your message. If you don't have an answer, return to the things you can answer.

Good luck, and have a relaxing weekend,
Dennis

Dennis Chaptman
University Communications
University of Wisconsin-Madison
28 Bascom Hall, 500 Lincoln Drive
Madison, WI 53706
(608) 262-9406

On Jun 30, 2006, at 3:50 PM, Patrick Farrell wrote:

Dennis--

Thanks. This is very helpful.

pat

----- Original Message -----

From: Dennis Chaptman <dchaptman@wisc.edu>

Date: Friday, June 30, 2006 12:51 pm

Subject: WHA radio interview

To: 'EDEN T INOWAY-RONNIE' <etinoway@wisc.edu>, pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu

Cc: Amy Toburen <atoburen@wisc.edu>

Pat,

Regarding the WHA interview this afternoon:

You'll likely be asked:

â€¢ What action could be taken if it is determined that the content of the class or Barrett's background is deemed inappropriate?

â€¢ About the bounds of academic freedom and where the line gets crossed in terms of indoctrination and inappropriate conduct.

â€¢ Why didn't we know about his background when he was offered the appointment? Or did we? [Things that will be determined in the next few days]

He may also be asked questions like:

â€¢ Would we allow a holocaust denier to teach history? A creationist to teach biology? [Both are highly speculative and unlikely scenarios]

â€¢ Why wouldn't you fire him outright based on his political beliefs?

â€¢ What is your reaction to his views? [I'd stay away from this -- there's no need to engage a debate. You're concerned with what happens in the classroom and the quality of instruction.]

A few points that should probably be stressed:

â€¢ We -- along with his department and the College of Letters and Science -- want to do a thorough examination of Barrett's experience in other classes and his plans for teaching this class.

â€¢ Academic freedom is an important issue, but also important is how material is presented in the classroom and the opportunities that are provided for meaningful discussion.

â€¢ We want to ensure that his personal political views are not imposed on students.

â€¢ We are most concerned with the classroom experience and it is of high quality, appropriate and not an attempt to impose views on students.

The producer says the segment should run 20-25 minutes and include some listener calls. Barrett is likely to be interviewed either before or after you on a separate segment. The two of you will have no direct interaction, according to the producer.

I know none of this is particularly new after our meetings yesterday. I just thought I'd offer my thoughts. I think we're working from a position of strength on this issue.

Dennis

Dennis Chaptman
University Communications
University of Wisconsin-Madison
28 Bascom Hall, 500 Lincoln Drive
Madison, WI 53706
(608) 262-9406
Denni

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Scott De Laruelle [SDeLaruelle@capitalnewspapers.com]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 5:18 PM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: professor controversy

Patrick,

As a 2003 M.A. graduate from this fine establishment, I always try to keep tabs on my beloved alma mater - a school both my parents, several aunts and uncles and my grandfather attended. When I heard the expected furor over a professor's professed belief that the 911 attacks could have been an inside job to stir up support for a war, I felt I needed to weigh in.

Many in this country are still in a bit of a state of shock about these attacks and have sadly not progressed beyond knee-jerk, survival-mentality thinking. Regardless, any student of history is well aware that our government has either perpetrated or permitted events to occur in the past to coerce public opinion towards war, from the sinking of the Maine in Havana harbor which got us into our initial imperialist adventure, to the widely-held belief that Roosevelt knew about Pearl Harbor but allowed it, to the more recent Gulf of Tonkin situation. Hitler kidnapped Polish citizens, put them in German army uniforms, killed them and placed them at German border patrols to justify his invasion of his smaller neighbor in 1939.

As a reputable academic institution, we must uphold the standards of intellectual integrity, and we cannot run away from controversy simply because of public pressure. If we always kowtow to the largely ignorant masses, we have truly failed in our mission.

There is, in fact, evidence that 911 was allowed to happen, though I dearly hope and pray no secret element of our government helped set it up to further a larger goal. Are we naive enough to think that could not happen. Machiavelli chuckles. There is much about our government that is secretive - did most people know five years ago there were more than a dozen intelligence agencies? Much remains hidden about 911, and the best thing UW-Madison can do is let the facts fall where they may and allow professors and students to pursue the truth, however distasteful that outcome may be. I hope the university will not cave in to pressure to conduct a witch hunt. We all lose if that happens.

I appreciate your tough spot in this and wish you the courage to do what is right. Thank you for your time.

Scott De Laruelle
Arlington, WI

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Margart99@aol.com
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 7:09 PM
To: jdwiley@wisc.edu; pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: Please STOP KEVIN BARRETT from teaching propaganda class at Madison...

Chancellor John Wiley
Provost Patrick Farrell

Dear Sirs:

I read with great concern of the introductory class on Islam scheduled to be taught at your university this fall by Kevin Barrett.

In my view, it is unfair to the students to allow Mr. Barrett to impose upon them his personal, and quite frankly, outrageous views on the subject of 9-11 being a conspiracy perpetrated and carried out by our government. These theories do not belong in a classroom at any university. Moreover, this manner of teaching is inconsistent with the high level of academic standards at your university.

Mr. Barrett has openly stated: "Either we discuss the compelling evidence that 9/11 was an inside job, or there is precious little to talk about." It would be unfair not only the students, but also your university, the victims of 9-11 and the tax payers to allow such a class to be taught at Madison. Mr. Barrett certainly does not reflect well upon your university; hopefully you will reconsider the wisdom of allowing him to teach at your university.

Sincerely,

Margo Itskowitch

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Mike McLamore [mikemclamore1@msn.com]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 10:27 PM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: Kevin Barrett Review



Which pledge do I Bush Nazi History
take Alert.d... Video Link.d...

Mr. Farrell- I have 20+ years experience in lobbying in Texas and on the Federal level. I am very familiar with the Bush political history and have had direct experience with the Bush agenda. I ask that you read and view the attachments in consideration of the patriotic behavior Mr. Barrett is demonstrating on behalf of UW-Madison and all Americans. Thank you.

Which pledge do I take?

I pledge allegiance to the New World Order
and to the one world government for which it stands,
one world authority over all nations and humanity
with compliance and subjugation for all.

Save America
Defeat the New World Order

Hope all is well with you. This is a free patriot alert from a lifelong Republican who is no longer willing to lose America's sovereignty and our society's liberties in the name of a new world order as professed by GHW Bush/Clinton/GW Bush. As a nation and the human race we must not cower to domestic enemies of our freedoms and sovereignty. On September 11, 1990 GHW Bush introduced the "New World Order" as one of his top priorities for America and the world to a joint session of Congress. He later spoke to the U.N. and stated that America pledges it allegiance to the U.N. one world government plan. The latest attack on America's sovereignty was a merger plan for Canada, America and Mexico signed without Congressional authorization by GW Bush on March 23, 2005. The plan is called the Security and Prosperity Partnership, which states that the three countries militaries and economies are to merge by 2010. See www.spp.gov. On September 11, 2001 GW Bush was given an event that has catapulted the New World Order agenda on to America and the world. Within 48 hours of the 911 crime, GW Bush authorized the Bin Ladens and Saudi Royals to fly out of the U.S. while all other air traffic was grounded. Numerous FBI field agents (including sworn testimony) have stated that the Bush administration thwarted their tracking of the supposed hijackers. 9-11 is not the first event in American history that the Bush family has had treasonous culpability. In addition to 30+ years of business ties between the Bin Laden and Bush families, the grandfather of GW Bush, Prescott Bush was arrested in 1942 during the height of WWII as a result of aiding the Nazis by funneling millions in U.S. revenue to the Hitler war machine through his American banking interests. Just as the Bush family aided Hitler while Americans were dieing in German war trenches, strong evidence shows that the GW Bush administration worked to facilitate the 9-11 attacks. The suspicious and indicting facts leading up to and surrounding 9-11 raise piercing questions about the official version of the 9-11 events that must be investigated. As the global agenda has emerged under the presidencies of GHW Bush/Clinton/GW Bush, we must inform and motivate Americans to defend their sovereign American liberties and values against a domestic enemy and the onslaught of a fascist/communist New World Order. Below is a paper by a physics professor and a few films that have been developed by various people in the 911 truth movement. There's much more in print as well. Glean these films for their facts on how the hijackers were handled/facilitated leading up to the event, the first hand testimony of first responders and visual proof of the 3 implosions using thermite. Make your own conclusions. I wept when I first came to grips with what is happening to us as a country and the human race under the New World Order but now I'm resolved and determined to inform Americans and put up a fight for the future liberty of the human race. I hope you'll pass this alert on to let others you know make their own conclusions as well...All my best, Mike McLamore, an American Patriot P.O. Box 823, Buchanan Dam, Tx. 78609

BYU Physics Professor's Study on 911

<http://www.physics.byu.edu/research/energy/Papers/J6p2%20.doc>

911 revisited

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PWgSaBT9hNU&search=911%20revisited>

Everybody's gotta learn sometime (has some narrative but many facts after the first 6 minutes)

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=6757267008400743688>

Pentagon strike

<http://www.amics21.com/911/pentagon.html#Main>

The Bushes and the Nazis

WMV Broadband/WMV Dial-up/QT Broadband/QT Dial-up

Journalist John Buchanan discusses how his research led him to uncover the deep and historical ties between the Bush family and the Nazi war machine. Click on one of the video links to view.

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 10:48 PM
To: PATRICK V FARRELL
Subject: Re: Request for meeting w/Provost

Dear Patrick Farrell,

I tried calling you this evening just after 5:00 but was directed to a voice mailbox that was not accepting messages.

I would be happy to meet with you and discuss your concerns about my Islam 370 class. I will be available Monday; if that is too soon, please let me know when you would like to meet.

Sincerely,

Kevin Barrett
[REDACTED]

On Jun 30, 2006, at 11:43 AM, PATRICK V FARRELL wrote:

> <KBarrett 6.30.06.pdf>

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 11:24 PM
To: DONALD A DOWNS
Cc: PATRICK V FARRELL; Jim Fetzer; PYRiesen@HHLAW.com
Subject: now it's the equivalent of Holocaust denial?!

Dear Mr. Downs,

I would like to have a civil dialogue with you, but I am not pleased by such remarks as:

Would the university be

entitled to not renew a renewable contract of a Holocaust denier because of academic standards of evidence, etc? It is a question whether your statement fits this category (I think it does), but that is a matter for discussion and debate.

Perhaps we should revise the debate topic as follows:

"Resolved: That it is absurd to doubt the conclusions of the *9/11 Commission Report* and to suggest that a new, honest and impartial investigation, focused on the possibility of official complicity, is a matter of the greatest national and international urgency; and that anyone who holds these absurd views has poor judgment, IS THE EQUIVALENT OF A HOLOCAUST DENIER, and should not be hired to teach at the University of Wisconsin."

I understand that your remarks, which strike me as at least as insane as my views probably strike you, stem from your evident unfamiliarity with the revisionist case on 9/11 as developed by such scholars as Nafeez Ahmed, David Griffin, Steven Jones, Jeff King, Webster Tarpley and other members of st911.org and/or physics911.net But I must add that your remarks go beyond apparent insanity, to reach at least the borderline of libel. Threatening the livelihood of a part-time lecturer with a family to support by hurling insults regarding a matter you know little about is morally indefensible behavior, and perhaps legally indefensible as well. While I believe in free speech, including the right to hurl insults instead of arguments, I am getting tired of receiving all insults and no arguments from those who do not like my work on 9/11. When the insults come with an implied threat to my livelihood, I grow even more annoyed. And I am especially disgusted with this kind of "Holocaust denier" comparison -- a transparent ploy to enforce conformity with an orthodox version of history that has been sacralized and raised to the level of unquestionable myth. You may bow and scrape before the sacred Kean-Zelikow report all you like--but I can prove, beyond anyone's reasonable doubt, that it is a tissue of lies and distortions. I will not allow you to silence my rational critique by your obsequious kowtowing before an excremental idol, and your incessant hurling of insults and threats at those who refuse to kowtow beside you.

I look forward to your working out a forum for a public debate with Jim Fetzer; and I trust that you will, sooner or later, as a result of your own education in this field, and/or the onward thrust of history, at least moderate your opinion of my "absurd, Holocaust-denial equivalent" views.

Sincerely,

Kevin Barrett
[REDACTED]

One thing is certain: the University should not fail to renew your contract because it disagrees with your views or finds them offensive. I am with you on that count.

You will find that I am willing to defend your right to express your beliefs in class if they are germane to the subject matter. Allowing counter-views is another positive sign, and I was glad to see that you provide this. In the end, we might be on the same page in terms of your academic freedom. But that doesn't mean that I give any credibility whatsoever to your views.

If you would like to call me to discuss a forum, I am available at [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Don Downs

----- Original Message -----

From: Khidria <khidria@merr.com>

Date: Friday, June 30, 2006 8:11 am

Subject: are you willing to defend your remarks, Professor Downs?

To: downs@polisci.wisc.edu

Cc: PYRiesen@HHLAW.com, Danielle Corcoran <DCorcoran@madison.com>, Jim Fetzer <jfetzer@d.umn.edu>, pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu, Samara Derby <samara@madison.com>

Dear Donald Downs,

re: Your remarks reported in the Wisconsin State Journal:

<http://www.madison.com/wsj/mad/top/index.php?ntid=89540&ntpid=2>

Political science professor Donald Downs called Barrett's opinion "absurd," but said he shouldn't be fired for what he says in class.

However, he said, Barrett's views reflect on his judgment, and the university might consider that next time he vies for a teaching position.

Since you seem to be suggesting that in the future I ought to be

denied the opportunity to earn a living because my "absurd" opinions reflect poor judgment, I hope you are willing to set the name-calling aside, and stand up and defend your view in an honest, open, rational debate. I propose a debate on the following proposition:

"Resolved: That it is absurd to doubt the conclusions of the 9/11 Commission Report and to suggest that a new, honest and impartial investigation, focused on the possibility of official complicity, is a matter of the greatest national and international urgency; and that anyone who holds these absurd views has poor judgment, and should not be hired to teach at the University of Wisconsin."

I propose that you argue for the above proposition, and that Jim Fetzer, co-founder of Scholars for 9/11 Truth, argue against it. Professor Fetzer has graciously expressed his willingness to engage you in this debate, or a debate on a similar proposition, in the public forum of your choice.

If you do not wish to defend your attack on my judgment and suitability to teach in an open, honest debate, I will have no choice but to consider pursuing the same kind of debate in a legal, rather than academic, forum.

I am copying this to Professor Fetzer and also to Philip Riesen, who has expressed an interest in representing me should this debate be forced into the legal arena.

Sincerely,

Kevin Barrett

Kevin Barrett
Coordinator, Muslim-Jewish-Christian Alliance for 9/11 Truth
<http://mujca.com>

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Matthew R Orr [matorr@uoregon.edu]
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 12:18 AM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: Useful information on the Kevin Barrett inquiry

June 30, 2006

Dear Provost Farrell:

I have read that you are conducting an inquiry into one of your lecturers, Kevin Barrett.

Please consider consulting my short course, "Is the War on Terrorism Fraudulent" which can be found by clicking the "Resources" link on the

Scholars for 9/11 Truth (www.st911.org) home page. I am a PhD scientist with over a dozen first-authored publications, including work in Nature, one of the top scientific journals in the world. Based on routine standards of scientific inquiry, there is overwhelming evidence that the so-called war on terrorism is a fraud being perpetrated from within the United States.

While you are at the st911.org web site, you might also take a look at the list of academics who are members of the group.

I am not familiar with all of Kevin Barrett's public writings and appearances, nor am I familiar with his curriculum. However, I have read two of his published newspaper opeds, and based on those it would appear that Dr. Barrett possesses an admirable and disappointingly rare combination of intellect and courage. Though his

stance is controversial in contrast to the politicized myth of 9/11, his analyses are relatively routine from any objective perspective.

Your 10-day investigation will be time well spent if you take care to familiarize yourself with the overwhelming quantities of evidence that

favor Dr. Barrett's perspective. My short course, and/or the references therein, are a good place to start.

If my kid were at UWisc, I'd suggest he sign up for Barrett's course, and encourage his friends to do likewise.

Respectfully,

Matthew Orr, PhD

.....><((((°>

University of Oregon
Chandler Building
1027 NW Trenton Ave.
Bend, OR 97701
541-617-4671

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Mark Ahlstrom [phace@gwi.net]
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 12:40 AM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: Kevin Barrett

Dear Mr. Farrell:

I am writing in support of Kevin Barrett's upcoming teaching position at University of Wisconsin-Madison. I understand some individuals don't appreciate this gentleman's fact-based contentions. So be it. That's their right. But your university has a duty to live up to its reputation as an institution of higher learning that accepts all views and viewpoints that are grounded in fact and reason, and allows them to be voiced. Please do not cower to political pressure on this most important issue. As you know, there are many scholars who agree with many of Mr. Barrett's ideas. Your university is to be commended for allowing Professor Griffin to speak there. Keep up the good work!

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: jerit@handdrawnstars.net
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 2:02 AM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: Prof Barrett

Dear Provost Farrell,

My name is Jerit Adamson Fourman. I am 29 years old. I was born in Sausalito, California, to parents who turned their backs on society and squatted on makeshift houseboats and collected welfare. Due to the unseemly elements on the waterfront, my father saw fit to remove my sister and me to rural Oregon, where I received my public education. I never missed a day of school and to this day hold the record for attendance, graduating 7th in my small class of less than 60 students. After high school I went to the University of Oregon in Eugene and studied liberal arts and sciences. Midway through my second year, I quit to think about what I was learning. I took two years off and worked menial jobs in the food-service industry before returning, this time to The Evergreen State College. I exchanged to Russia for 8 months in my final year and graduated in 2000. Since that time I have been drifting, not knowing where I fit in, listening to NPR, watching the news, and trying to learn as much as I could about the world. In the last three months, however, I have learned more than I would have thought possible, and it is entirely thanks to the people who are asking questions about 9/11.

I tell you all this, because I want to relate with you on a personal level. Sometimes in our official capacities, we operate on auto-pilot. I do not mean to suggest that you are merely responding to complaints from other people, nor do I think that it is unwise for someone in your position to respond to concerns that you yourself may have about the material that Professor Barrett is teaching. All that I mean to say here is that, if you are merely executing an investigative procedure for handling complaints, consider that the subject is controversial independent of Prof Barrett: The nation is divided not only about the war, but also about the nature of the event that led to the war in the first place. The controversy is upon us and no longer avoidable. I trust that someone of your education is capable of seeing that disagreement and dialogue about controversial subjects is beneficial, not harmful. Only silencing discussion is unhealthy.

Further, if it is a personal concern of yours, then I would be remiss if I did not remind you that, in order to represent the interests of the student body generally, approximately half of whom might feel as you do and the other half not, then this might be a matter better left to the students themselves to decide: If the students do not want to hear about alternative explanations for the events of that very important day in our national history, then they will simply avoid Prof Barrett's course. Give it time. See how many students are interested. Serve the students' interests. Please.

I thank you for your time in reading this and for your consideration of my views as well as look forward to hearing from you, if you are not already swamped with responses to your decision to investigate Prof Barrett. Also, I pray that any such response from you would show your compassion for not censoring controversial subjects from the curriculum.

Prof Barrett's position at the University of Wisconsin-Madison apparently rests with you; I urge you not to delegate that decision except unto the students.

Thank you,

Jerit Adamson Fourman, B. A.
212 Alaskan Way S #408
Seattle, WA 98104
(206) 650-4611
<http://handdrawnstars.net>

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 6:41 AM
To: Ron Rattner
Cc: PATRICK V FARRELL
Subject: Re: UW attack on academic freedom and 9/11 truth

Thanks, Ron!

You can write to the Provost, Patrick Farrell, at:
pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
I appreciate your support.
Kevin

On Jun 30, 2006, at 10:05 PM, Ron Rattner wrote:

> Dear Kevin,
>
> When truth-tellers are persecuted on a campus known for its liberal
> and progressive traditions, we are in dark times.
>
> As an attorney and UW Madison graduate during the McCarthy era, I am
> deeply disturbed about the politically motivated "investigation" of
> your teaching, and want to write to your academic inquisitors about
> the importance of academic freedom in preserving what's left of
> democracy in this country.
>
> Can you send me relevant email addresses?
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Ron Rattner, San Francisco
>
>

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 6:48 AM
To: Barth Weishoff
Cc: PATRICK V FARRELL
Subject: Re: Hey guy.

Thanks, Barth!

You can always send a letter to the already-sympathetic provost, Patrick Farrell, at pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Kevin

On Jun 30, 2006, at 8:36 PM, Barth Weishoff wrote:

> Kevin --
>
> If there's anything I can do to help combat idiots like Nass, let
> me know. I took some time this AM to craft a letter to the fellow,
> expressing my distaste for his obvious attack on your First Amendment
> rights.
>
> Stay safe and have a good 4th!
>
> -bw
>

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 7:12 AM
To: Patrick Farrell
Subject: Re: Request for meeting w/Provost

Thanks Pat.

Wednesday I'm booked 11 - 1:30 and 5-7; other days and times are fine.

By the way, I appreciate your sensible response to the situation.

Kevin

On Jul 1, 2006, at 6:17 AM, Patrick Farrell wrote:

> Kevin-
>
> Thanks for your prompt response. I want to have Ellen Rafferty (I
> think I spelled that right) and Gary Sandefur meet with us as well.
> I'll ask my assistant, Cherie Krenke to pull a meeting together, but
> I'm not sure if it will be Monday afternoon or not. I'll ask her to
> call you Monday morning as soon as we have a possible time on Monday
> or Wednesday to see if you can make that.

> Pat Farrell

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: [REDACTED]
> Date: Friday, June 30, 2006 10:48 pm
> Subject: Re: Request for meeting w/Provost
> To: PATRICK V FARRELL <pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu>

>> Dear Patrick Farrell,

>> I tried calling you this evening just after 5:00 but was directed to
>> a voice mailbox that was not accepting messages.

>> I would be happy to meet with you and discuss your concerns about my
>> Islam 370 class. I will be available Monday; if that is too soon,
>> please let me know when you would like to meet.

>> Sincerely,

>> Kevin Barrett

>> On Jun 30, 2006, at 11:43 AM, PATRICK V FARRELL wrote:

>>> <KBarrett 6.30.06.pdf>

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 7:20 AM
To: Zlatko Grozl
Cc: Patrick Farrell
Subject: Re: MUJCA News: Overflow Crowd of 9/11 Stories!

yeah, that's me

thanks for your offer; if you want to send a polite, well-argued letter to the provost, he's:

pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu

actually it's more than alright; I had the amazing luck of being attacked by the most unpopular politician in the state, who is especially loathed by everyone connected with the university!!

K

On Jul 1, 2006, at 3:23 AM, Zlatko Grozl wrote:

Quick question, are you the same Kevin Barrett from this article <http://kurtnimmo.com/?p=441>, and if so, is everything all right? Do you need any help? I'll do what I can.

From: Kevin Barrett [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2006 9:16 PM
To: MUJCA.1@flpvm19.prodigy.net
Cc: MUJCA.2@flpvm19.prodigy.net; MUJCA.3@flpvm19.prodigy.net; MUJCA.4@flpvm19.prodigy.net; MUJCA.5@flpvm19.prodigy.net; MUJCA.6@flpvm19.prodigy.net; MUJCA.7@flpvm19.prodigy.net; MUJCA.press.releases@flpvm19.prodigy.net; mujca.madison@flpvm19.prodigy.net; Kevin Barrett
Subject: MUJCA News: Overflow Crowd of 9/11 Stories!

Overflow crowd at the American Scholars Symposium in L.A.!

Reuters reports: 9/11 conspiracy theorists gather at LA conference

C-Span Covers LA 9/11 Conference

Symposium on how and why September 11th was an inside job to be televised on C-SPAN as early as Thursday 6/29! Keep checking their site at <http://www.c-span.org/> or please call (202) 737-3220 to emphasize that the public wants to know what really happened on 9/11 and the media is obliged to help us find out!

Charlie Sheen Says 9/11 Movement "Juggernaut Of Truth"

Sends mainstream media a warning during speech at L.A. American Scholars Symposium

Paul Joseph Watson/Prison Planet.com | June 28 2006

Charlie Sheen said the 9/11 truth movement was a juggernaut of truth after he sent a warning to the mainstream media that they should fulfil their roles as the watchdogs of government during a speech this past weekend in L.A. at the American Scholars Symposium.

Introducing Alex Jones at the Sheraton Hotel event, Sheen sidestepped remarks about his

remarkable courage in putting his reputation and career on the line in the name of truth by focusing attention on what the conference was intended to achieve.

"We are here today to ask those still sleeping to wake up and join us," said Sheen.

"We are here today to fire a warning shot across the bow of mainstream media - to remind them of their original responsibility as civil and political watchdogs," said Sheen, himself the victim of salacious attack dogs of the press since his **public stance on 9/11** back in March.

Sheen dedicated the L.A. truth convergence to the victims of 9/11, their families, our children and their children - saying that one of the primary objectives was the "deconstruction of the official myth" behind 9/11 and to drain the "cesspool of lies and distortion we refuse to drown in any longer."

"We are here today to unleash a juggernaut of truth," said Sheen as he urged the 1200 plus attendees to "hold our course, to bang our drums, to sharpen our focus."

Watch the video to see Sheen's comments in full.

9/11: What Happened, Why, and What can be Done about it?

Sunday, July 9th, 2006, 9 - 10:30 a.m.

The Midwest Social Forum 2006

University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI

608.262.1420

Jim Fetzer will provide a critical overview of evidence suggesting that the official story of 9/11 is untrue, and that the worst terrorist incident in American history appears to have been an inside job or "false-flag" attack designed to trigger pre-planned wars in the Middle East. Kevin Barrett will discuss strategies for turning the 9/11 psychological warfare operation against its perpetrators, and using 9/11 truth as a "magic bullet" that could demilitarize the American economy, overthrow corrupt elites, turn attention and resources toward pressing environmental concerns, and usher in a new era of peace and justice.

<http://www.mwsocialforum.org/node/589>

Jim Fetzer Thrashes Ollie North on FOX : <http://www.911podcasts.com/display.php?vid=122>

Don't miss Professor Fetzer's lesson to schoolboy Ollie. Funny how the day after Fetzer went on Fox and cited Norman Mineta's testimony to the 9/11 Commission implicating Dick Cheney in high treason, Mineta resigned! Maalik B.'s interpretation: "Fetzer burned him alive." (Wait a minute, Maalik--CHENEY's the treason perp, not Mineta!) Anyway, Jim Fetzer is doing all kinds of great media work -- try to keep up with him by checking in at <http://st911.org>

Opposition Radio With Dr. Joe Hawkins

Weekly in-depth interviews with movers and shakers in the 9/11 movement which delve a little deeper than the topic of their research. The first episode features an **interview with Kevin Barrett**.

Download this first episode from www.stoplying.ca here:

<http://www.stoplying.ca/media/or/or062306.mp3>

Mirrored at 911podcasts here:

<http://www.911podcasts.com/display.php?vid=124>

MUJCA Coordinator Responds to Salon.com's Attack on Loose Change 2

re: http://www.salon.com/ent/feature/2006/06/27/911_conspiracies/

To the Editors,

I think it is a safe prediction that any self-styled "on-line alternative publication" that not only fails to investigate the gaping holes in the official myth of 9/11, but joins the corporate mainstream in deceptive ad-hominem attacks against those who question the myth, will die an ignominious death in the near future.

Farhad Manjoo's dazed-and-confused hit piece targeting the makers of Loose Change uses the standard method of pseudo-journalistic defamation: String together a series of out-of-context quotes selected and framed with loaded language to make the target group look bad.

While Manjoo essentially admits that WTC-7 was obviously taken down in a conventional controlled demolition, and knows full well that the official 9/11 Commission Report did not even mention the sudden, free-fall-speed collapse of this 47-story building--whose landlord Larry Silverstein asked for 7 billion and got more than a 2 billion dollar insurance payout on his six-week WTC lease, and even confessed on national television to the demolition--Manjoo is astonished that anyone finds this odd:

"Meanwhile, according to an astonishing recent Zogby poll, 42 percent of Americans believe that 'the US government and its 9/11 Commission concealed or refused to investigate critical evidence that contradicts their official explanation of the September 11th attacks.'"

Anyone who reads David Griffin's systematic, irrefutable demolition of the 9/11 Commission Report may indeed be astonished -- that the figure is less than 100%.

Slink off to your grave, Salon.com!

Kevin Barrett
Coordinator, <http://mujca.com>
Member, st911.org, physics911.net

MUJCA Coordinator Responds to Richard Perle's "Attack Iran" Op-Ed

version with links to be posted at <http://mujca.com/perle.htm> soon!

emailed to rperle@aei.org
June 26th, 2006

Dear Richard Perle,

I understand that you encourage your friends to call you by your nickname "the Prince of Darkness." After reading your Washington Post op-ed railing at Bush and Condi for beating an "ignominious retreat" by "blinking on Iran" I am wondering why. You seem like such a sweet, innocent guy! How could a nice man like yourself, who is only concerned with "the struggle for freedom in Iran" and "support for democracy and human rights in Iran" revel in such a Satanic

sobriquet?

My dear "Prince," I am writing to warn you that by dubbing yourself "The Prince of Darkness" you are encouraging your enemies to spread terrible rumors about you. For example, on WORT radio here in Madison, Wisconsin, Ashcroft-gagged FBI whistleblower Sibel Edmonds recently confirmed what she had already indirectly conveyed through an article in Vanity Fair: She says she saw FBI intercepts showing that you and Douglas Feith had arranged the financing for 9/11!

O poor defamed and denigrated Prince, it is my sad duty to inform you that Ms. Edmonds is not the only one who is saying such things. Vile rumor-mongers are claiming that the American Turkish Council (ATC) and American-Azerbaijan Chamber of Commerce (AACC), upon whose boards you and Mr. Feith so innocently sit, are fronts for drug-smuggling, money-laundering, and false-flag terrorism, and prime sponsors of the 9/11 crimes against humanity. These same backstabbers and chatterers are claiming that Valerie Plame was outed to stop her surveillance of the ATC, which some wags have been calling the American Terrorism Council. These slanderous, conspiracy-crazed moonbats are raising the alarm that ATC and AACC, major players in the nuclear black market, may launch another 9/11-style false-flag terror event to trigger a US attack on Iran. By calling yourself "the Prince of Darkness," you only encourage such speculation.

O purehearted princely master of Machievellian mendacity, these horrific vilifications and disparagements of your royal honor will undoubtedly continue as long as you go around calling yourself "the Prince of Darkness." If you want to avoid the hangman's noose, and continue your idealistic volunteer work for freedom and democracy, I urge you to publicly repudiate your nickname "the Prince of Darkness" and make it clear that henceforth you will be addressed as "the Prince of Sweetness and Light." That way, when you write editorials urging Americans to risk World War III by turning Iran into an irradiated charnel house, people will believe that you are motivated by a saintly, unsullied love of freedom, democracy, and the Good.

Your humble & unworthy servant,

Kevin Barrett

Coordinator, Muslim-Jewish-Christian Alliance for 9/11 Truth <http://mujca.com>

* * *

<http://mujca.com/poetry.htm>

All God's Names

--**Kathleen Ferrick Rosenblatt**

What will I call you today, Lord?

Allah, Yahweh, Dios, Apollo, Indra, Holy Ghost?

What languages are you speaking today?

It is said that you are Creator and Linguist of all planets.

You speak Mandarin, Nahuatl, Sanskrit, Pharsi,

Latin, Arabic, and all 300 dialects in India.

For every culture--- a sacred language,

To speak ceremonies, formulas,

prayers to invoke you.

Certainly the Lord of the Universe is just as sacred
in Arabic as in English.

If we believe in your omnipresence,
Why can't we accept that the You is You, in everything,
Everywhere, in all times---with Moses, and Mohammed.

Surely you would have contacted someone in North America.
Why not Black Elk or Mormon Joseph Smith?
We say you are all-powerful, and yet,
We can't accept your sending a messenger
to any culture but our own.

In our superiority, we reject pantheists as primitive,
Those who feel your presence in the stars and oceans.
The Native American rites were so innately spiritual,
Honoring your presence in every blade of grass,
Yet we called them "pagan".

Can't we all be part of "the Grand Old Religion,"
"The Chosen Few," or "the One True Church" in this larger sense?
After all, our entire planet spins out from your finger.
Did you set the world in motion with one spark of astral fusion?
The big bang vibrates still as we blast through space.

Are we not linked tightly enough in our DNA
to be woven together as a blanket,
A sacred garment around the earth---
Parishes, conclaves, synagogues, minarets,
chuppas, stuppas, Eucharist, Kabah, Torah,
Calvary, Mount Ararat, Mount Sinai,
the Mound of the Rock, Mount Merou,
All the holy mountains of the earth?

We breathe in, "inspirer",
to pull in YOU, Espiritu,
to inspire ourselves with this cosmic energy,
Chi, prana, mana, this You.
Einstein says we are 98% empty space filled with
bubbles of energy. We can feel this energy is You.

So we reach out to thank you for having touched
All our cultures in such personal ways through time,
making each group feel like your special favorites.
We thank you for allowing us to know your names.

Mia Hamel's top recent stories (email her at mhamel@tampabay.rr.com to get on her list):

Hello to All!

Overflow crowd at the American Scholars Symposium in L.A.! Reuters reports:

9/11 conspiracy theorists gather at LA conference

Symposium on how and why September 11th was an inside job to be televised on C-SPAN as early as Thursday 6/29! Keep checking their site at <http://www.c-span.org/> or please call (202) 737-3220 to emphasize that the public wants to know what really happened on 9/11 and the media is obliged to help us find out!

Professor Fetzer's coverage by FOX continues! <http://www.st911.org/>
He will be a guest Tuesday night on the Alan Colmes Radio Show, 10 PM – 1 AM FOX News Talk

London Bomber working for MI5? Find out about this and more news at www.911blogger.com

Phony Miami Terrorist Cell - FBI Exploits Mentally Ill in "Homegrown" Terrorism Effort
Using a well established pattern, the government seeks out mental cases and disturbed individuals and turns them into "al-Qaeda" terrorists by entrapping & inciting: Kurt Nimmo:
<http://kurtnimmo.com/?p=435>

New York Ground Zero Workers Detox – Toxic chemicals ooze out in sauna!
Look at this image: <http://nydetox.org/gallery6.htm>

British Comedian Rob Newman's History of Oil
<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article13416.htm>
Was WW I about Iraqi oil? Fascinating, funny, engaging.

Democratic Policy Committee Hearings on Pre War Intelligence Relating to Iraq held Monday 6/26/06:

<http://democrats.senate.gov/dpc/dpc-hearing.cfm?A=33>

Senator Dorgan, sponsor of the hearings: "Those who fail to learn the lessons of history are destined to repeat it."

Another angle on the same story: PBS Special 'Darkside' about Cheney and the war that aired last week, viewable on line:

<http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/darkside/>

Four Connecticut librarians stand up to the Patriot Act:
<http://www.wingsofjustice.com/06/06/woj06025.html>

Former Honorees of this Buzzflash project include Ray McGovern:
<http://www.wingsofjustice.com/honorees.html>

Phrase of the day regarding the infamous 'signing statements' from Buzzflash
<http://www.buzzflash.com/>

"Are those tears we see flowing from the eyes of the Statue of Liberty?"

The White Rose Lives!

Mia

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 8:49 AM
To: Patrick Farrell
Cc: DONALD A DOWNS
Subject: Fwd: Kevin Barrett

Sorry to contribute to the inundation, but John Cobb is considered by many to be America's leading theologian, and I would hope his perspective would help balance that of people like Mr. Downs.
Kevin

Begin forwarded message:

From: "John B. Cobb, Jr." <cobbj@cgu.edu>
Date: June 30, 2006 2:02:33 PM CDT
To: adpowell@wisc.edu
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Kevin Barrett

Languages and Cultures of Asia Dept.

Dear colleagues:

Kevin Barrett has written me that there is political pressure to exclude him from teaching because of his assignment of a book that I participated with him in editing. Since I have considerable confidence that the University of Wisconsin does not yield easily to political pressure, I doubt that any comment on my part is needed. However, I would like to support Mr. Barrett.

Along with a Jewish professor in Arizona, Sandra Lubarsky, Barrett and I have gathered papers by religiously committed people who take a variety of positions on what really happened on 9/11. Most do not believe the official account or at least think that a serious investigation is needed. This is a widespread view in this country, and even more widespread abroad. Yet our press maintains almost complete silence. It is not politically correct to countenance a "conspiracy theory."

I am a Christian theologian, and my task was to solicit essays from fellow Christians. We hope that this will begin to break through the wall of silence on an issue of great importance to this country and to the world. So much of our foreign and domestic policy is based on the extremely questionable official account that it is my belief that the lack of attention to this matter by the Christian community in general is irresponsible. Incidentally, a major breakthrough in this regard has come through the publication by Westminster John Knox press of David Griffin's book, *Christian Faith and the Truth Behind 9/11*.

Although officially our book was co-edited by the three of us, and we all solicited contributors, Barrett took major responsibility for putting it together and working with the publisher.

I have not met him personally, but I can say that in doing this he was thoroughly responsible, leaning over backwards to adjust to the concerns of Jewish contributors, for example.

In my view, only those who believe that the American government can do no wrong, or in particular that this administration is properly above the law could regard Barrett's participation in this project as academically inappropriate.

John B. Cobb, Jr.

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Raymond Munro [raymond.munro@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 8:51 AM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: Kevin Barrett

Dear Provost Farrell,

I am writing in support of Kevin Barrett. Although we have exchanged a few emails I don't know him personally and I am writing this unsolicited. I am familiar with some of his writing and find it to be sober, well measured and sometimes brilliant. His attempts in trying to bring together Christians, Muslims and Jews to work on shared contemporary problems has established him as an important moral voice. Although what he said on the radio you might find shocking, and though you may not agree with his conclusions, if you look with an open mind I am confident you will find there are many important questions about 9/11 that must be investigated. An objective investigation of the events surrounding the most important event shaping our contemporary foreign and domestic policy is exactly what Dr. Barrett and other responsible researchers are saying is needed.

Although the subject is delicate, I trust that Dr. Barrett can look at these issues with students in an objective and fair way. I know this because it was done in a theatre research project I produced with student actors writers and musicians last semester at Clark University called The 9/11 Project. We started with the official 9/11 Commission Report and then went on to the works of David Ray Griffin and others, including an article by Kevin Barrett on coercion. Last May we presented theatre pieces based on our research that reflected the wide range of views of all the participants, from those that stood with the official story to those who had serious doubts. We were united only in our questions not our conclusions.

I am not surprised to read that Kevin Barrett welcomes your inquiry into his teaching and course material, I expect that you will find after your investigation the University of Wisconsin will be very well served having Dr. Barrett on its faculty.

Sincerely,

Raymond Munro
Professor, Theatre Arts
Clark University

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 10:35 AM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: Regarding Kevin Barrett

Mr. Farrell,

I was a student of Kevin Barrett's in the fall semester. I can understand your concerns in regards to his opinions to September 11th. Although some of the theories he proposed are unfavorable to the mainstream, he is not the only person in the world who thinks that way. Without delving into my own feelings about what he has said and the so called conspiracy theories, I would like to say that Kevin was an excellent lecturer and his knowledge of Islamic culture is impressive to say the least. He emphasizes the idea of cultural relativism, and uses it to help students understand our differences with the Islamic world. I am assuming this topic came up after his column in the Capitol Times.

If the concern is that students will be indoctrinated with his own slanted views of current events and world relations, I would have to say that would be an unfair and unfounded worry. In the realm of politics, everyone is entitled to their own opinion (including students). His views might be a little outside of the norm (although I don't entirely disagree with what has been said, we should question what has happened and why).

As a teacher Mr. Barrett is great, he is very personable and knows volumes about folklore and world culture. I found his class to be a very positive experience.

Regards

[REDACTED]

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Robert Johnson [thatemailname@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 12:18 PM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: Kevin Barrett

Dear Provost Farrell:

I was heartened to read you statement on the UW-Madison website, indicating that you are planning a review of Kevin Barrett's plans for his upcoming "Islam: Religion and Culture" class.

I also hope you will take this opportunity to review material both by and about Mr. Barrett, particularly his belief that the terrorist attack on the World Trade Center was in fact conducted by the US government.

Sincerely,
Robert Johnson

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
<http://mail.yahoo.com>

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Margart99@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 1:00 PM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: Re: Please STOP KEVIN BARRETT from teaching propaganda class at Madison...

Dear Mr. Farrell,
Thank you very much for your prompt response to my E-mail.
Sincerely,

Margo Itskowitch, AIA, ASID
Interior & Architectural Design
602 North Alpine Drive
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
Tel: 310-278-3616 Fax: 310-278-2437
1025 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10028
Tel: 212-439-1730 Fax: 212-4396169

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Gaelic Starover [gaelicstarover@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 2:54 PM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu; rep.nass@legis.state.wi.us; mtwohey@journalsentinel.com;
tips@channel3000.com
Subject: Profesor Steven Jones

Prof. Steven Jones (Brigham Young University):

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-2842384983834100001>

Larry Silverstein on 7 WTC:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w7he_sAVs0A

Find accommodation FAST with MSN Search! <http://search.msn.ie/>

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Gaelic Starover [gaelicstarover@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 8:10 PM
Subject: The Churchill Canard

<http://gaelicstarover.blogspot.com/2006/07/churchill-canard.html>

Find a baby-sitter FAST with MSN Search! <http://search.msn.ie/>

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Jason King [jasonkin1@earthlink.net]
Sent: Sunday, July 02, 2006 11:26 AM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: Lawmaker Wants UW Lecturer Fired Over 9/11 Views

Dear Mr. Farrell,

In regards to the article "Lawmaker Wants UW Lecturer Fired Over 9/11 Views" (<http://www.channel3000.com/news/9457154/detail.html>) if Mr. Barret is going to be fired for his view on 9/11 and be denied his livelihood I suggest that it is then only fair to hold him accountable in a public debate. I believe he has issued this challenge to Professor Downs and awaits a reply.

I might point out that presentations are now being given (on the Governments flawed official conspiracy theory) to the Japanese Diet, The Governments of Malaysia, Indonesia and others. As well in the past four years Independent Truth commissions have been held in Toronto , L.A., and recently Chicago.

I'm sure it will not stop there despite the firing of Mr. Barret.

In a debate it would be interesting to hear Professors Downs explanation as to, the discovery that at least 9 of the accused hijackers were found alive after September 11, (<http://www.welfarestate.com/911/#11>).

Perhaps Professor Downs could then explain how the WTC 7 building came down at he speed of gravity due 2 insignificant fires WHEN NO PLANE hit the building.

There is a great controversy ragging on whether the governments official story on the 9/11 event is true, there are dozens of books published* on the subject, thousands of websites (including 911scholars for truth) and an emerging movement of scholars, researchers and activists.

If Mr. Farrell is going to be fired for his ideas at least then respect his challenge for a public debate.

Thank you.

J King
Medford, MA

Scholars for 9/11 Truth" (S9/11T) <http://www.scholarsfor911truth.org/WhoAreWe.html>

Top REASONS TO DOUBT THE OFFICIAL STORY OF SEPTEMBER 11th, 2001

<http://www.911truth.org/article.php?story=20041221155307646>

WT7 Collapse unexplained:
<http://911research.wtc7.net/> |

<http://www.reopen911.org/>

http://ny911truth.org/fact_sheet.htm

<http://www.911truthla.us/>

* The New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions About the Bush Administration and 9/11 - David Ray Griffin,

The 9/11 Commission Report: Omissions And Distortions - David Ray Griffin

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Ron Rattner [ronrattner@earthlink.net]
Sent: Sunday, July 02, 2006 1:56 AM
To: Patrick V Farrell
Cc: Kevin Barrett; mmcpike@tds.net; jbartell@quarles.com; mary.cuene@nwtc.edu; Elizabeth.burmester@dpi.state.wi.us; danae@pearlsforteengirls.com; mbradley@ruderware.com; jesussalas25@yahoo.com; cmsemenas@hotmail.com; mjs@quarles.com; cpruitt@abdata.com; ekeesler@new.rr.com; jvcrain@netnet.net; dwalsh@foleylaw.com; brent@johnsflaherty.com; board@uwsa.edu; prosey5@yahoo.com
Subject: Reconsider and Withdraw Academic "Review" of Dr. Kevin Barrett

To: Dr. Patrick V. Farrell, Provost
University of Wisconsin - Madison

Dear Dr. Farrell:

As an attorney and McCarthy era UW Madison graduate, I am deeply disturbed about the politically instigated "review" of Dr. Kevin Barrett, which you announced on June 29. When teachers are intimidated against seeking and speaking truth on a campus renowned for its liberal and progressive traditions, we are in trouble.

It has been reported that UW System Board of Regents President, David G. Walsh, thinks Dr. Barrett should be able to share his views in the classroom, observing: "Unless he's yelling fire in a crowded theater, we need to be careful to protect his academic freedom".

Mr. Walsh, a skilled attorney, is absolutely right. Academic freedom is essential for maintaining democracy. As Albert Einstein observed: "It is evident that any restriction on academic freedom acts in such a way as to hamper the dissemination of knowledge among the people and thereby impedes national judgment and action."

Universities are for inquiries, not inquisitions. The Provost's review of Dr. Barrett will intimidate the entire academic community against seeking or discussing 9/11 truth. Accordingly, I urge immediate reconsideration and withdrawal of plans for an academic "review" of Dr. Kevin Barrett.

To remain a great academic institution, UW must operate in the tradition of La Follette, not McCarthy.

Respectfully,

Ron Rattner
1998 Broadway #1204
San Francisco, CA 94109

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Karen Rice [Karen.Rice@wwu.edu]
Sent: Sunday, July 02, 2006 3:56 AM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: Kevin Barrett

Dear Provost Farrell:

I hope that you will give Kevin Barrett a fair review, keeping in mind his right to academic freedom. There are many responsible academics who share his concerns about the events of September 11.

Since your degree is in mechanical engineering, it might be helpful for you to take a quick look at the data on the WTC collapses.

Thanks very much.

Karen Rice
Associate Professor
Western Washington University
Bellingham, WA

Member, Scholars for 9.11 Truth

Alumna, University of Wisconsin, Madison B.S., 1967

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Dstacey [don.stacey@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, July 02, 2006 6:56 AM
To: Donald Downs
Cc: Patrick Farrell
Subject: Kevin Barrett



image.jpg (208 KB)

You have expressed a view of the 911 events that seems unsupportable by the evidence. The proper way to resolve the issue is a debate. Jim Fetzer stands ready to debate the issue with you. I urge you to accept the challenge. America needs to know what happened on 911 and what did not happen on 911. A debate is the best way to focus the issue so that thinking Americans can reach a conclusion on this most important issue.

If you are right then the issue is put to rest. Go for it!

Don Stacey

Here is an interesting item to consider as you prepare for the debate:

+++++

----- Original Message -----

From: Thomas R. Ascher

To: Nila Sagadevan

Sent: Friday, June 23, 2006 9:17 PM

Subject: Re: By Jove, he's ALMOST got it!

Dear Nila: That is a brilliant illustration. The mathematics and the physics are undeniable. What is really powerful about this illustration is that even if you cut the collapse time per floor to one fifth of a second it would still take at least 20 seconds to complete the collapse. Twice as long as freefall.... Tom

----- Original Message -----

From: Nila Sagadevan

To: 911 Research ; Aero ; US ; INT'L

Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2006 2:15 PM

Subject: By Jove, he's ALMOST got it!

Dear Friends and Colleagues,

I received an email from a gentleman who's the head of a Republican Committee in Louisiana. It included the following:

"One has only to look at the videos of the buildings falling to see through your lie. I have watched dozens of buildings being demolished. They fall down all at once as all of the support structure is blown up. The WTC buildings both fell one story at a time, with each floor remaining in place until it is crushed by the enormous weight of the floors above coming down on it. A ten-year-old child can see the difference when shown the videos."

My response (which you may decide to distribute widely):

Sir,

I see YOU'RE ALMOST THERE! You've almost proved it to yourself!

You said:

"The WTC buildings both fell one story at a time, with each floor remaining in place until it is crushed by the enormous weight of the floors above coming down on it."

Now, just THINK about what you said for a moment.

Let's presume that as the floors fell "one storey at a time", each of the 110 floors momentarily resisted the floor falling upon it for just ONE SECOND.

After all, every one of these floors was supported by steel trusses, welds, rivets, bolts, etc., so let's say EACH floor took at least ONE SECOND before it succumbed to the weight above it.

This would amount to a total of **110 seconds** (I.e., 1 sec/floor), correct?

But let's be outrageously charitable, and say each floor only resisted the floor falling upon it for HALF a second.

This would equal **55 seconds** in sum, correct?

Now, roll your video.

Take out a STOPWATCH and time the collapse.

You'll find that **IT TOOK LESS THAN 10 SECONDS for the roof to strike the ground!**

In fact, it took **9.4 seconds** for the roof of the 110-storey North tower to hit the ground 1,350 feet below.

This would be IMPOSSIBLE if there was ANY KIND OF STRUCTURE resisting the falling mass!

Why?

Because **9.4 seconds is about how long it would take a BALL dropped from the roof of one of the towers — AND FALLING THROUGH AIR -- to strike the ground.**

So how could the ROOF fall through 110 FLOORS and take the same amount of time to hit the ground as the BALL?

The ONLY way this could have happened would have been if every single vertical support beneath every falling floor was suddenly blown out of the way just before that floor impacted the one below it.

THIS IS EXACTLY WHAT HAPPENS IN A CONTROLLED DEMOLITION -- Explosives are used to BLAST the supports "out of the way" of the falling floors, so that the only resistance the falling mass experiences is THIN AIR!

Bottom line:

A SIMPLE STOPWATCH TELLS THE WHOLE STORY:

9.4 SECONDS!

Can't you see it is IMPOSSIBLE for the ROOF of the tower to crash through 110 FLOORS in the same amount of time as a ball dropped from the roof?

It is absolutely IMPOSSIBLE — without explosives.

YOU'RE ALMOST THERE, MAN... THINK!

Look closely at the photo below. Do you see the myriad little tooth-pick-like objects shooting UPWARDS and outwards?

THOSE ARE HEAVY STEEL BEAMS! Many of these beams were found OVER 300 FEET AWAY from the towers!

Do you see entire multi-beam ASSEMBLIES, each weighing several TONS, being blown out?

What was the force that caused all this?

OPEN YOUR EYES... And THINK!

Kind regards,
Nila

###

To be excluded from this group, please reply with "DELETE" typed in the subject line.

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Karl Pavloff [kpavloff@zoomtown.com]
Sent: Sunday, July 02, 2006 9:40 AM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu
Subject: RE: Mr. Kevin Barrett

Dear Mr. Patrick Farrell,

This email is in regards to Mr. Kevin Barrett and his qualifications to be an instructor at UW - Madison. I ask that you judge Mr. Barrett the same way you would judge any other person applying for an instructor position at your college.

Below is an email that I sent to the two Wisconsin state representatives who are opposing the re-hiring of Mr. Barrett solely due to his views on the 9-11 attacks. As I recommended to these two gentlemen, I recommend to you, first become knowledgeable on the 'actual events' surrounding 9-11 before you render your opinion.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing in regards to the article which indicates that you are opposing the re-hiring of Mr. Kevin Barrett to UW-Madison University due to his opinions on the events surrounding the 9-11-01 attacks. My suggestion to both of you would be to first gain some knowledge about the 'actual events' surrounding the 9-11 attacks before you embarrass yourselves with your opinions based upon ignorance.

All free-thinking americans owe Mr. Barrett and Dr. David Ray Griffin a huge debt of gratitude. On April 18, 2005, C-SPAN broadcast the speech given by Dr. David Ray Griffin at the University of Wisconsin - Madison entitled "9-11 and the American Empire". In this speech Dr. Griffin spoke of the 'omissions and distortions' perpetrated by the members of the 9-11 Commission in order to cover-up the actual facts involved in the September 11 attacks.

It would benefit you greatly if you would take the time to investigate these issues before rendering any opinion. Here are a few links which may provide you with ample material to enlighten you on this subject:

Dr. David Ray Griffin's speech regarding his new book "9-11 Commission Report: Omissions and Distortions"

Download the video of the speech here:
<http://www.apfn.org/APFN/WTC.htm>

BYU physics professor Stephen Jones speaks about the likely probability that "controlled demolitions" brought down the World Trade Towers.

View the video online here:
<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=9640346520024085866>

Read Professor Jones' entire research paper here:
<http://www.physics.byu.edu/research/energy/htm7.html>

Scholars for 9-11 Truth
www.scholarsfor911truth.org

Sincerely,

Karl Pavloff (member of the 9-11 Truth Movement)
8064 Highfield Ct.
Cincinnati, OH 45242
513.489.0637
kpavloff@zoomtown.com

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Matthew Woodson [truthactivist@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, July 02, 2006 9:45 AM
To: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu; dadowns@facstaff.wisc.edu
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: My comments regarding Mr. Barrett

Sirs:

As I observe the Mr. Barrett controversy from afar I believe it's my responsibility to share my views. As a political scientist I'm can understand Mr. Downs opinion. It is politically unwise to speak truth to power, especially when that power controls large amounts of funding for your employer, and in my estimation committed the worst crime this country has ever seen. Also, as a political scientist I'm sure Mr. Downs is well aware of false flag attacks of Hitler and the Gulf of Tonken.

I have independently researched the conspiracy theories of Mr. Barrett and can point to many more facts supporting his theory than that of our Government. I discovered the collapse of World Trade Center 7 only a few months ago. This is what began my journey of informing the public, as it is us who are paying for this "war on terror", which is now being called "the long war". I have met with Veterans of both the Iraq and Vietnam who share similar stories, killing teens in those countries, that's when I decided action must be taken.

We are at a most important time in this country's history. The way I see it you can be from 1 of 3 schools of thought:

- 1) you agree with PNAC policies that justify mass murder to achieve foreign & domestic policies
- 2) you disagree that killing and lying to the World is justified
- 3) you aren't aware of the state of the world

Mr. Downs seems to be advocating the students of your university remain in the third category. This of course is smart political science, Hitler was a master of this technique.

I subscribe to political thoughts of President Eisenhower who believed that we need and informed and knowledgeable public. To that end, I'm doing everything in my ability provide my beliefs to the people of Oklahoma's 5th US Congressional district, and allow them to vote on the issue. I wonder if Mr. Downs believes if these issues should be allowed in political debates, I assure you my politically connected opponents don't want to address this issue either.

--
Matthew Woodson
Independent Candidate
US House 5th District of Oklahoma
www.SendMeABuck.com
405-370-9543

Eden Inoway-Ronnie

From: Dorothy Nowak [dnowak3@verizon.net]
Sent: Sunday, July 02, 2006 9:55 AM
To: dadowns@facstaff.wisc.edu
Cc: pfarrell@provost.wisc.edu; Mia
Subject: Education/ Higher learning

Dear Professor Downs,

I am shocked to see that a Professor on your faculty is being threatened for expressing an idea. It is my belief that the role of education is to challenge all thoughts and ideas so that we may have a better understanding of the world and all it's workings.

I was raised with the distinctly American ideal clearly stated in the first Amendment of the Constitution.

There should be no thought, idea or principle that cannot be debated.

The over reaction to Professor Barrett's investigation into the events of 911, give credence to the idea that, infact there is a coverup.

The idea that you at the University are threatened by opening a detailed investigation into the facts and physics of the entire event and the snowball that has rolled on as a result is frightening.

I say it is you who needs to examine your motives for not allowing Professor Barrett to speak freely.

If it is because you are afraid ?

Please remember, in a free country you should never fear exercising your rights as a citizen protected under the Constitution. The true meaning behind "Home of the Brave", means protecting those principles of the Constitution against all enemys foreign and/or domestic.

Happy Fourth

God Bless the Constitution

Dorothy Nowak dnowak3@verizon.net